EXHIBIT L



Transcript of Luisa Read

Tuesday, August 9, 2022

Lucasys Inc. v. Powerplan, Inc.

www.TP.One www.aldersonreporting.com www.accutrancr.com 800.FOR.DEPO (800.367.3376) Scheduling@TP.One

Reference Number: 120751

```
1
                 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
                FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA
 4
                            ATLANTA DIVISION
 5
 6
        LUCASYS INC.,
                                            )
 7
 8
                   Plaintiff,
                                               Civil Action File
 9
                                               No:1:20-cv-2987-AT
10
                                            )
        v.
11
12
        POWERPLAN, INC.,
13
14
                   Defendant.
15
16
17
18
        --- This is the Videotaped Deposition of LUISA READ,
19
        taken at the Courtyard by Marriott Burlington/Oakville,
20
        Halton Boardroom, 1110 Burloak Drive, Burlington,
21
        Ontario, Canada, L7L 6P8, on Tuesday, the 9th day of
22
        August, 2022.
23
24
25
```

1	"implementation", are you referring to the
2	implementation of PowerPlan software or the Customer
3	First program?
4	A. The PowerPlan software.
5	Q. And is it just PowerTax in
6	particular that Lucasys was going to work on?
7	A. Specifically on the PowerTax
8	modules within PowerPlan, but, obviously, there is some
9	dependencies on the property fixed asset sub-ledger
10	that is are required as well, so needed to
11	provide be there to listen, to see whether there was
12	any dependencies between the two because, obviously,
13	there is.
14	Q. Okay. And if you look back at
15	this Exhibit 2, same page, paragraph 2 is entitled
16	"Fees", and it states the "Total fees and expenses will
16 17	"Fees", and it states the "Total fees and expenses will not exceed " Is that accurate?
17	not exceed "Is that accurate?
17 18	not exceed "Is that accurate? A. Yes.
17 18 19	not exceed " Is that accurate? A. Yes. Q. Does that number reflect what
17 18 19 20	not exceed " Is that accurate? A. Yes. Q. Does that number reflect what PowerPlan [sic] intended to or estimated it would spend
17 18 19 20 21	not exceed " Is that accurate? A. Yes. Q. Does that number reflect what PowerPlan [sic] intended to or estimated it would spend on Lucasys for this project?
17 18 19 20 21 22	not exceed " Is that accurate? A. Yes. Q. Does that number reflect what PowerPlan [sic] intended to or estimated it would spend on Lucasys for this project? MR. FAZIO: Objection. I think you

1	Q. Does this reflect what Liberty
2	estimated that it would pay Lucasys for this project?
3	A. Yes.
4	Q. Was that number negotiated, to
5	your knowledge?
6	A. I don't, I don't know. Laura was
7	the one who negotiated it with Lucasys.
8	Q. Okay. Do you recall whether there
9	was any discussion with Lucasys that you were aware of
10	as to whether they would potentially do additional work
11	for Liberty down the line after this engagement?
12	A. Not that I'm aware of, no.
13	Q. Okay. You can put that one aside
14	as well.
15	Lucasys did, in fact, perform some work
16	for Liberty; is that right?
17	A. During this, for this agreement?
18	Q. That's right, pursuant to the
19	services agreement, Exhibit 2.
20	A. There I believe Lucasys did
21	participate, I don't know how many, but there were a
22	couple of workshops that they did attend initially to
23	start the work that we needed to do.
24	Q. Okay. Did Liberty have any issue
25	with the work that Lucasys did?

1	A. No.
2	Q. Did Liberty have any reason to
3	terminate Lucasys based on the work that they did
4	pursuant to the agreement?
5	A. No.
6	Q. Okay, but Liberty did, in fact,
7	terminate Lucasys, correct?
8	A. Correct.
9	Q. Okay. And why was that?
10	A. We were made aware by PowerPlan
11	that we are we had something in the master services
12	agreement between Liberty and PowerPlan where PowerPlan
13	said we required their consent to have individuals work
14	on their or participate in their workshops and in their
15	sessions.
16	Q. And did PowerPlan provide that
17	consent?
18	A. They did not.
19	Q. Okay.
20	MR. FAZIO: Can I just say before you
21	move on, I'm just going to object to the extent
22	we're we haven't identified what topics which
23	witness is covering. This is supposed to be a 30(b)
24	and we've been treating these a bit like they're
25	individual witness depositions, and to the extent we're

1	paragraph, I just want to ask you about the last couple
2	of lines there. He writes:
3	"This creates an intolerable risk for
4	us - and you - that Lucasys will misuse or
5	misappropriate our Confidential Information and
6	unfairly use it to develop, market, and sell its
7	competing software."
8	My question is, did Mr. Duffy tell you
9	that Lucasys had already been misusing or
10	misappropriating PowerPlan information?
11	A. Not that I recall.
12	Q. Then he writes that:
13	"we must withhold our consent for
14	Algonquin and its affiliates to provide Lucasys with
15	access to our Confidential Information"
16	And then skipping ahead again, he
17	writes:
18	"To be clear, we have no problem with
19	Algonquin retaining Lucasys for projects that will not
20	involve access to, disclosure of, or working with
21	Confidential Information."
22	Do any such projects exist at Liberty?
23	MR. FAZIO: Objection. Form.
24	THE WITNESS: Not that I'm aware of.
25	BY MS. GAGE:
1	



1	Q. So is it your understanding that
2	PowerPlan effectively banned you from using Lucasys in
3	this consulting role?
4	MR. FAZIO: Objection. Form.
5	THE WITNESS: Based on the interaction
6	with PowerPlan not providing the consent to have
7	Lucasys, we had to terminate our agreement with
8	Lucasys.
9	BY MS. GAGE:
10	Q. Did you feel like PowerPlan was
11	bullying Liberty?
12	MR. FAZIO: Objection. Form.
13	THE WITNESS: Not I don't believe so
14	because we did try to work together with PowerPlan to
15	come up with other options to continue to have Lucasys
16	participate.
17	BY MS. GAGE:
18	Q. Flip back to the first page of
19	this Exhibit 6. Above the e-mail that we just
20	discussed is a response from you to Mr. Duffy. It's
21	also on April 21st, and the time stamped is 6:51 p.m.,
22	so that's approximately ten minutes. It's 12 minutes
23	after his e-mail to you. Do you see that?
24	A. Yes.
25	Q. He writes:

1	that PowerPlan provides software systems within that
2	market that is described in topic 2?
3	MR. FAZIO: Objection. Form.
4	Foundation. Calls for expert opinion.
5	THE WITNESS: I am aware that PowerPlan
6	does provide their products to regulated utilities.
7	BY MS. GAGE:
8	Q. Are you aware of any other
9	software provided to regulated utilities that does
10	similar has similar functionality to PowerPlan
11	software?
12	MR. FAZIO: Objection. Form.
13	Foundation.
14	THE WITNESS: I'm not aware of any, no.
15	BY MS. GAGE:
16	Q. Were you responsible for choosing
17	PowerPlan's software for use by Liberty?
18	A. Not only I was the person
19	responsible. Was Liberty Customer First initiative to
20	have PowerPlan as our system for asset accounting as
21	well as for the tax module.
22	THE REPORTER: The tax?
23	THE WITNESS: Modules.
24	BY MS. GAGE:
25	Q. So were you involved in the

1	decision to choose PowerPlan for asset accounting and
2	tax modules?
3	A. Yes.
4	Q. Okay. During the process of
5	making that decision, did you become aware of any other
6	products that Liberty could choose from in that area?
7	A. No.
8	Q. Did you look for other products?
9	A. As part of the Customer First
10	initiative, there were discussions as part of the RFP
11	where we had an implementation, different
12	implementation partners bidding on the work.
13	When we went with IBM as our
14	implementation partner, their recommendation, based on
15	our organization, regulated utilities, their
16	recommendation to us was that PowerPlan was the fixed
17	assets software we should be using. SAP's
18	functionality within fixed assets does not provide all
19	the functionality that PowerPlan does.
20	When we before meeting with IBM,
21	there was another competitor who had their own software
22	development related to asset accounting that they had
23	developed, but we did not go with that implementation
24	partner.
25	Q. Do you recall who that was?

1	A. Capgemini, but they were the main
2	integrated integration partner, and I don't know, I
3	think they were getting some other partnership with
4	another consulting firm to help with the asset
5	accounting side, and I don't remember the company's
6	name.
7	Q. Okay, but it was something other
8	than PowerPlan?
9	A. Yes.
10	Q. And that's for asset accounting?
11	A. Yes.
12	Q. Okay. What about for tax
13	depreciation?
14	A. Not aware of any other software or
15	systems that do the PowerTax and Tax Provision.
16	Q. Okay. And does that encompass
17	you said PowerTax and Tax Provision. Are you aware of
18	any other software that handles deferred taxes and tax
19	depreciation?
20	A. No.
21	Q. Now, you mentioned that Liberty
22	did an RFP for implementation. At the time that you
23	put out the RFP, is it accurate that you had not yet
24	chosen to use PowerPlan or licensed PowerPlan?
25	A. We had not licensed PowerPlan when